Developmental Fluoride Neurotoxicity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Anna L. Choi, Guifan Sun, Ying Zhang, Philippe Grandjean

Developmental Fluoride Neurotoxicity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Anna L. Choi[1], Guifan Sun[2], Ying Zhang[3], Philippe Grandjean[1,4]

[1]Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

[2]School of Public Health, China Medical University, Shenyang, China

[3]School of Stomatology, China Medical University, Shenyang, China

[4]Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Author information and address for reprints:

Anna L. Choi, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health,

Landmark Center 3E, 401 Park Dr., Boston, MA 02215 USA. Phone 617-384-8646; fax 617-384-8994; email achoi@hsph.harvard.edu

Running Title: Fluoride neurotoxicity

Key Words: Fluoride, Intelligence, Neurotoxicity

List of Abbreviations:

CI, confidence interval

CNKI, China National Knowledge Infrastructure

SE, standard error

SMD, Standardized mean difference

TOXNET, Toxicology Data Network

Abstract

Background: Although fluoride may cause neurotoxicity in animal models and acute fluoride poisoning causes neurotoxicity in adults, very little is known of its effects on children's neurodevelopment.

Objective: We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of published studies to investigate the effects of increased fluoride exposure and delayed neurobehavioral development.

Methods: We searched the MEDLINE, EMBASE, Water Resources Abstracts, and TOXNET databases through 2011 for eligible studies. We also searched the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) database, as many studies on fluoride neurotoxicity have been published in Chinese journals only. In total, we identified 27 eligible epidemiological studies with high and reference exposures, endpoints of IQ scores or related cognitive function measures with means and variances for the two exposure groups. We estimated the standardized mean difference (SMD) between exposed and reference groups across all studies using random effects models. We conducted sensitivity analyses restricted to studies using the same outcome assessment and having drinking water fluoride as the only exposure. Cochran test for heterogeneity between studies, Begg's funnel plot and Egger test to assess publication bias were performed. Meta-regressions to explore sources of variation in mean differences among the studies were conducted.

Results: The standardized weighted mean difference in IQ score between exposed and reference populations was -0.45 (95% CI -0.56 to -0.35) using a random-effects model. Thus, children in high fluoride areas had significantly lower IQ scores than those who lived in low fluoride areas. Subgroup and sensitivity analyses also indicated inverse associations, although the substantial heterogeneity did not appear to decrease.

Conclusions: The results support the possibility of an adverse effect of high fluoride exposure on

children's neurodevelopment. Future research should include detailed individual-level

information on prenatal exposure, neurobehavioral performance, and covariates for adjustment.

Introduction

A recent report from the US National Research Council (NRC 2006) concluded that adverse effects of high fluoride concentrations in drinking-water may be of concern and that additional research is warranted. Fluoride may cause neurotoxicity in laboratory animals, including effects on learning and memory (Chioca et al. 2008; Mullenix et al. 1995). A recent experimental study where the rat hippocampal neurons were incubated with various concentrations (20 mg/L, 40 mg/L, and 80 mg/L) of sodium fluoride *in vitro* showed that fluoride neurotoxicity may target hippocampal neurons (Zhang et al. 2008). Although acute fluoride poisoning may be neurotoxic to adults, most of the epidemiological information available on associations with children's neurodevelopment is from China, where fluoride generally occurs in drinking water as a natural contaminant, and the concentration depends on local geological conditions. In many rural communities in China, populations with high exposure to fluoride in local drinking water sources may reside in close proximity to populations without high exposure (NRC 2006).

Opportunities for epidemiological studies depend on the existence of comparable population groups exposed to different levels of fluoride from drinking water. Such circumstances are difficult to find in many industrialized countries, as fluoride concentrations in community water are usually no higher than 1 mg/L, even when fluoride is added to water supplies as a public health measure to reduce tooth decay. Multiple epidemiological studies of developmental fluoride neurotoxicity were conducted in China due to the high fluoride concentrations that are substantially above 1 mg/L in well-water in many rural communities, although microbiologically safe water has been accessible to many rural households as a result of the recent five-year plan (2001-2005) by the Chinese government. It is projected that all rural

residents will have access to safe public drinking water by 2020 (World Bank 2006). However, results of the published studies have not been widely disseminated. Four studies published in English (Li et al. 1995; Lu et al. 2000; Xiang et al. 2003; Zhao et al. 1996) were cited in a recent report from the National Research Council (NRC 2006), while the World Health Organization has considered only two (Li et al. 1995; Zhao et al. 1996) in its most recent monograph on fluoride (WHO 2002).

Fluoride readily crosses the placenta (ATSDR 2003). Fluoride exposure to the developing brain, which is much more susceptible to injury caused by toxicants than is the mature brain, may possibly lead to damage of a permanent nature (US EPA 2011). Based on the considerations of health risks, and in response to the recommendation of the National Research Council (NRC 2006), the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recently announced that HHS is proposing to change the recommended level of fluoride in drinking water to 0.7 mg/L from the currently recommended range of 0.7 to 1.2 mg/L, and EPA is reviewing the maximum amount of fluoride allowed in drinking water, which currently is set at 4.0 mg/L (US EPA 2011).

To summarize the available literature, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of published studies on increased fluoride exposure in drinking water and neurodevelopmental delays. We specifically targeted studies carried out in rural China that have not been widely disseminated, thus complementing the studies that have been included in previous reviews and risk assessment reports.

Methods

Search Strategy

We searched MEDLINE (National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed), EMBASE (Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, the Netherlands; http://www.embase.com), Water Resources Abstracts (Proquest, Ann Arbor, MI; http://www.csa.com/factsheets/water-resources-set-c.php), and TOXNET (National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD; http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov) databases to identify studies of drinking water fluoride and neurodevelopmental outcomes in children. In addition, we searched the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI; http://www.cnki.net) database to identify studies published in Chinese journals only. Keywords included combinations of "fluoride" or "drinking water fluoride", "children", "neurodevelopment" or "neurologic" or "intelligence" or "IQ". We also used references cited in articles identified. Records were searched from 1980 to 2011. Our literature search identified 39 studies, among which 36 (95%) were studies with high and reference exposure groups, and 3 (7.7%) studies were based on individual-level measure of exposures. The latter showed dose-related deficits were found but were excluded because our meta-analysis focused on studies with the high and low exposure groups only. In addition, 2 studies were published twice, and the duplicates were excluded.

Inclusion criteria and Data Extraction

The criteria for inclusion of studies included studies with high and reference fluoride exposures, endpoints of IQ scores or other related cognitive function measures, presentation of a mean outcome measure and associated measure of variance [95% confidence intervals (CI) or standard errors (SEs) and numbers of participants]. Interpretations of statistical significance are based on

an alpha level of 0.05. Information included for each study also included the first author, location

of the study, year of publication, and numbers of participants in high-fluoride and low-fluoride

areas. We noted and recorded the information on age and gender of children, and parental

education and income if available.

Statistical Analysis

STATA (version 11.0; StataCorp, College Station, TX) and available commands (Stern 2009)

were used for the meta-analyses. A standardized weighted mean difference (SMD) was

computed using both fixed-effects and random-effects models. The fixed-effects model uses the

Mantel-Haenszel method assuming homogeneity among the studies, while the random-effects

model uses the DerSimonian and Laird method, incorporating both a within-study and an

additive between-studies component of variance when there is between-study heterogeneity

(Egger et al. 2001). The estimate of the between-study variation is incorporated into both the

standard error of the estimate of the common effect and the weight of individual studies, which

was calculated as the inverse sum of the within and between study variance. Heterogeneity

among studies was evaluated using the I^2 statistic, which represents the percentage of total

variation across all studies due to between-study heterogeneity (Higgins and Thompson 2002).

The potential for publication bias was evaluated using Begg and Egger tests and visual

inspection of a Begg funnel plot (Begg and Mazumdar 1994; Egger et al. 1997). We also

conducted independent meta-regressions to estimate the contribution of study characteristics

(mean age in years from the age range and year of publication in each study) to heterogeneity

among the studies. The scoring standard for the Combined Raven's Test – The Rural edition in

China (CRT-RC) test classifies a score of ≤69 and 70-79 as low and marginal intelligence,

respectively (Wang et al. 1989). We also used the random effects models to estimate risk ratios for the association between fluoride exposure and a low/marginal versus normal Raven's test score among children in studies that used the Combined Raven's Test –Rural in China (CRT-RC) test (Wang et al. 1989). Scores indicating low and marginal intelligence (≤69 and 70-79, respectively) were combined as a single outcome due to small numbers of children in each outcome subgroup.

Results

Six of the 34 studies identified were excluded due to missing information on the number of subjects or the mean and variance of the outcome (see Figure 1 for a study selection flow chart and Supplemental Material, Table S1 for additional information on studies that were excluded from the analysis). Another study (Trivedi et al. 2007) was excluded because SDs reported for the outcome parameter were questionably small (1.13 for high fluoride group, and 1.23 for low fluoride group) and the SMD (-10.8, 95% CI -11.9, -9.6) was more than 10-times lower than the second smallest SMD (-0.95, 95% CI -1.16, -0.75) and 150-times lower than the largest SMD (0.07, 95% CI -0.083, 0.22) reported for the other studies, which had relatively consistent SMD estimates. Inclusion of this study in the meta-analysis resulted with a much smaller pooled random-effects SMD estimate and a much larger I^2 (-0.63 (95% CI -0.83, -0.44), I^2 94.1%) compared to the estimates that excluded this study (-0.45, 95% CI -0.56, -0.34), I^2 80%) (see Supplemental Material, Figure S1). Characteristics of the 27 studies included are shown in Table 1(An et al. 1992; Chen et al. 1991; Fan et al. 2007; Guo et al. 1991; Hong et al. 2001; Li et al. 2003; Li et al. 2009; Li et al. 2010; Lin et al. 1991; Lin et al. 1994; Lin et al. 1995; Lu et al. 2000; Poureslami et al. 2011; Ren et al. 1989; Seraj et al. 2006; Sun et al. 1991; Wang et al.

1996; Wang et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2007; Xiang et al. 2003; Xu et al. 1994; Yang et al. 1994; Yao et al. 1996; Yao et al. 1997; Zhang et al. 1998; Zhao et al. 1996). Two of the studies included in the analysis were conducted in Iran (Poureslami et al. 2011; Seraj et al. 2006), otherwise the study cohorts were populations from China. Two cohorts were exposed to fluoride from coal burning (Guo et al. 1991; Li et al. 2010), otherwise populations were exposed to fluoride through drinking water. The CRT-RC was used to measure the children's intelligence in 16 studies. Other intelligence measures included the Weschler Intelligence tests (3 studies), Binet IQ test (2 studies), Raven's test (2 studies), Japan IQ test (2 studies), Chinese comparative intelligence test (1 study), and the mental work capacity index (1 study). As each of the intelligence tests used are designed to measure general intelligence, we used data from all eligible studies to estimate the possible effects of fluoride exposure on general intelligence. In addition, we conducted a sensitivity analysis restricted to studies that used similar tests to measure the outcome (specifically, the CRT-RC, Weschler Intelligence test, Binet IQ test, or Raven's test), and an analysis restricted to studies that used the CRT-RC. We also performed an analysis that excluded studies with co-exposures including iodine and arsenic, or with non-drinking water fluoride exposure from coal burning.

Pooled SMD estimates

Among the 27 studies, all but one study showed random-effect SMD estimates that indicated an inverse association, ranging from -0.95 (95% CI: -1.16, -0.75) to -0.10 (95% CI: -0.25, 0.04) (Figure 2). The study with a positive association reported a SMD estimate of 0.07 (95% CI: -0.8, 0.22). Similar results were found with the fixed-effect SMD estimates. The fixed-effects pooled SMD estimate and corresponding 95% CI were -0.40 (-0.44, -0.35), with a p-value <0.001 for

the test for homogeneity. The random-effects SMD estimate and 95% CI were -0.45 (95% CI:-0.56, -0.34) with an I^2 of 80% and homogeneity test p-value <0.001 (Figure 2). Because of heterogeneity (excess variability) between study results, we primarily used the random-effects model for subsequent sensitivity analyses, which is generally considered to be the more conservative method (Egger et al. 2001). Among the restricted sets of intelligence tests, the SMD for the model with only CRT-RC tests and drinking-water exposure (and to a lesser extent the model with only CRT-RC tests) was lower than that for all studies combined, although the difference did not appear to be significant. Heterogeneity, however, remained at a similar magnitude when the analyses were restricted (Table 2).

Sources of heterogeneity

We performed meta-regression models to assess study characteristics as potential predictors of effect. Information on the child's gender and parental education were not reported in more than 80% of the studies, and only 7% of the studies reported household income. These variables were therefore not included in the models. Among the two covariates, year of publication (0.02; 95% CI: 0.006, 0.03), but not mean age of the study children (-0.02; 95% CI: -0.094, 0.04), was a significant predictor in the model with all 27 studies included. I^2 residual 68.7%, represented the proportion of residual between-study variation due to heterogeneity. From the adjusted R^2, 39.8% of between-study variance was explained by the two covariates. The overall test of the covariates was significant (p=0.004).

When the model was restricted to the 16 studies that used the CRT-RC, the child's age (but not year of publication) was a significant predictor of the SMD. The R^2 of 65.6% of between-study variance was explained by the two covariates, and only 47.3% of the residual variation was due

11

to heterogeneity. The overall test of both covariates in the model remained significant (p = 0.0053). On further restriction of the model to exclude the 7 studies with arsenic and iodine as co-exposures and fluoride originating from coal-burning, thus including only the 9 with fluoride exposure from drinking water, neither age nor year of publication was a significant predictor, and the overall test of covariates was less important (p = 0.062), in accordance with the similarity of intelligence test outcomes and the source of exposure in the studies included. Although official reports of lead concentrations in the study villages in China were not available, some studies reported high percentage (95 to 100%) of low lead exposure (less than the standard of 0.01 mg/L) in drinking water samples in villages from several study provinces (Bi et al. 2010; Peng et al. 2008; Sun 2010).

Publication bias

A Begg's funnel plot with the SE of SMD from each study plotted against its corresponding SMD did not show clear evidence of asymmetry, though two studies with a large SE also reported relatively large effect estimates, which may be consistent with publication bias or heterogeneity (Figure 3). The plot appears symmetrical for studies with larger SE, but with substantial variation in SMD among the more precise studies, consistent with the heterogeneity observed among the studies included in the analysis. Begg (p = 0.22) and Egger (p = 0.11) tests did not indicate significant (p < 0.05) departures from symmetry.

Pooled risk ratios

The relative risk of a low/marginal score on the CRT-RC test (<80) among children with high fluoride exposure compared to those with low exposure (16 studies total) was 1.93 (95% CI:

12

1.46, 2.55; I^2 58.5%). When the model was restricted to 9 studies that used the CRT-RC and included only drinking water fluoride exposure (Chen et al. 1991; Fan et al. 2007; Li et al. 1995; Li et al. 2003; Li et al. 2010; Lu et al.2000; Wang et al. 2006; Yao et al. 1996, 1997), the estimate was similar (RR 1.75; 95% CI: 1.16, 2.65; I^2 70.6%). Although fluoride exposure showed inverse associations with test scores, the available exposure information did not allow a formal dose-response analysis. However, dose-related differences in test scores occurred at a wide range of water-fluoride concentrations.

Discussion

Findings from our meta-analyses of 27 studies published over 22 years suggest an inverse association between high fluoride exposure and children's intelligence. Children who lived in areas with high fluoride exposure had lower IQ scores than those who lived in low exposure or control areas. Our findings are consistent with an earlier review (Tang et al. 2008), although ours more systematically addressed study selection and exclusion information, and more comprehensive in 1) including nine additional studies, 2) performing meta-regression to estimate the contribution of study characteristics as sources of heterogeneity, and 3) estimating pooled risk ratios for the association between fluoride exposure and a low/marginal Raven's test score.

As noted by the NRC committee (NRC 2006), assessments of fluoride safety have relied on incomplete information on potential risks. In regard to developmental neurotoxicity, much information has in fact been published, although mainly as short reports in Chinese that have not been available to most expert committees. We carried out an extensive review that includes epidemiological studies carried out in China. While most reports were fairly brief and complete information on covariates was not available, the results tended to support the potential for

13

fluoride-mediated developmental neurotoxicity at relatively high levels of exposure in some studies. We did not find conclusive evidence of publication bias, though there was substantial heterogeneity among studies. Drinking-water may contain other neurotoxicants, such as arsenic, but exclusion of studies including arsenic and iodine as co-exposures in a sensitivity analysis resulted in a lower estimate, although the difference was not significant. The exposed groups had access to drinking-water with fluoride concentrations up to 11.5 mg/L (Wang et al. 2007), thus in many cases concentrations were above the levels of 0.7-1.2 mg/L (HHS) and 4.0 mg/L (US EPA) considered acceptable in the US. A recent cross-sectional study based on individual-level measure of exposures suggested that low levels of water fluoride (range 0.24 to 2.84 mg/L) had significant negative associations with child's intelligence (Ding et al. 2011). This study was not included in our meta-analysis, which focused only on studies with exposed and reference groups, thereby precluding estimation of dose-related effects.

The results suggest that fluoride may be a developmental neurotoxicant that affects brain development at exposures much below those that can cause toxicity in adults (Grandjean 1982). For neurotoxicants, such as lead and methylmercury, adverse effects are associated with blood concentrations as low as 10 nmol/L. Serum-fluoride concentrations associated with high intakes from drinking-water may exceed 1 mg/L, or 50 µmol/L, thus more than 1000-times the levels of some other neurotoxicants that cause neurodevelopmental damage. Supporting the plausibility of our findings, rats exposed to 1 ppm (50 µmol/L) of water-fluoride for one year showed morphological alterations in the brain and increased levels of aluminum in brain tissue compared with controls (Varner et al. 1998).

The estimated decrease in average IQ associated with fluoride exposure based on our analysis may seem small and may be within the measurement error of IQ testing. However, as

research on other neurotoxicants has shown, a shift to the left of IQ distributions in a population will have substantial impacts, especially among those in the high and low ranges of the IQ distribution (Bellinger 2007).

The present study cannot be used to derive an exposure limit, as the actual exposures of the individual children are not known. Misclassification of children in both high- and low-exposure groups may have occurred if the children were drinking water from other sources (e.g., at school or in the field).

The published reports clearly represent independent studies and are not the result of duplicate publication of the same studies (we removed two duplicates). Several studies (Hong et al. 2001; Lin et al. 1991; Wang et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2007; Xiang et al. 2003; Zhao et al. 1996) report other exposures, such as iodine, and arsenic, a neurotoxicant, but our sensitivity analyses showed similar associations between high fluoride exposure and the outcomes even after these studies were excluded. Large tracts of China have superficial fluoride-rich minerals with little, if any, likelihood of contamination by other neurotoxicants that would be associated with fluoride concentrations in drinking water. From the geographical distribution of the studies, it seems unlikely that fluoride-attributed neurotoxicity could be due to other water contaminants.

Still, each of the articles reviewed had deficiencies, in some cases rather serious, which limit the conclusions that can be drawn. However, most deficiencies relate to the reporting, where key information was missing. The fact that some aspects of the study were not reported limits the extent to which the available reports allow a firm conclusion. Some methodological limitations were also noted. Most studies were cross-sectional, but this study design would seem appropriate in a stable population where water supplies and fluoride concentrations have remained unchanged for many years. The current water-fluoride level likely also reflects past

developmental exposures. In regard to the outcomes, the inverse association persisted between studies using different intelligence tests, although most studies did not report age adjustment of the cognitive test scores.

Fluoride has received much attention in China, where widespread dental fluorosis indicates the prevalence of high exposures. In 2008, the Ministry of Health reported that fluorosis was found in 28 provinces with 92 million residents (China News, 2008). Although microbiologically safe, water supplies from small springs or mountain sources created pockets of increased exposures near or within areas of low exposures, thus representing exposure settings close to the ideal, as only the fluoride exposure would differ between nearby neighborhoods. Chinese researchers took advantage of this fact and published their findings, though mainly in Chinese journals, and according to the standards of science at the time. This research dates back to the 1980s, but has not been widely cited at least in part because of limited access to Chinese journals.

In its review of fluoride, the US National Research Council (NRC 2006) emphasized that both the beneficial effects of fluoride on dental health and its adverse effects were incompletely documented. Our comprehensive review substantially extends the scope of research available for evaluation and analysis. Although the studies were generally of insufficient quality, the consistency of their findings adds support to existing evidence of fluoride-associated cognitive deficits, and suggests that potential developmental neurotoxicity of fluoride should be a high research priority. While reports from WHO and national agencies have generally focused on beneficial effects (CDC 1999; Petersen and Lennon 2004), the NRC report emphasized the need to consider potential adverse effects as well as benefits of fluoride exposure (NRC 2006).

In conclusion, our results support the possibility of adverse effects of fluoride exposures on children's neurodevelopment. Future research should formally evaluate dose-response relations based on individual-level measures of exposure over time, including more precise prenatal exposure assessment and more extensive standardized measures of neurobehavioral performance, in addition to improving assessment and control of potential confounders.

References

An JA, Mei SZ, Liu AP, Fu Y, Wang CF. 1992. Effect of high level of fluoride on children's intelligence. Chinese J of the Control of Endemic Diseases 7(2):93-94. (in Chinese)

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 2003. Toxicological profile for fluorides, hydrogen fluoride, and fluorine (update). Available online: http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp11.pdf.

Begg CB, Mazumdar M. 1994. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 50:1088-1101.

Bellinger DC. 2007. Interpretation of small effect sizes in occupational and environmental neurotoxicity: Individual versus population risk. Neurotoxicol 28:245-251.

Bi WJ, Zheng X, Lan TX. 2010. Analysis on test results of drinking water's quality in Janan Railway Bureau from 2005-2009. Prev Med Trib 16(6):483-485. (in Chinese).

CDC (Center for Disease Control and Prevention). 1999. Achievements in Public Health, 1990-1999: Fluoridation of drinking water to prevent dental caries. MMWR, October 22;48(41):933-940.

Chen YX, Han F, Zhou Z, Zhang H, Jiao X, Zhang S, et al. 1991. Research on the intellectual development of children in high fluoride areas. Chinese Journal of Control of Endemic Diseases. 6(supplement):99-100. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/ (Also available: Fluoride 2008, 41(2):120-124).

China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI; http://www.cnki.net) [accessed 25 May 2010].

China News. 2008. Twenty-Eight provinces were affected by fluorosis in China. Available online: http://news.qq.com/a/20081216/001707.htm. (in Chinese). [accessed 03 July 2012]. (in Chinese).

Chioca LR, Raupp IM, Da Cunha C, Losso EM, Andreatini R. 2008. Subchronic fluoride intake induces impairment in habituation and active avoidance tasks in rats. Eur J Pharmocol 579:196-201.

Ding Y, Gao Y, Sun H, Han H, Wang W, Ji X, et al. 2011. The relationships between low levels of urine fluoride on children's intelligence, dental fluorosis in endemic fluorosis area in Hulunbuir, Inner Mongolia, China. J Harzard Mat 186:1942-1946.

Dobbing J. 1968. Vulnerable periods in developing brain. In: Davidson AN, Dobbing J, eds. Applied Neurochemistry. Philadelphia: Davis, pp.287-316.

Egger M, Davey Smith G, Altman D. 2001. Systematic reviews in health care meta-analysis in context. London: BMJ Publishing.

Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. 1997. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 315:629-634.

EMBASE (Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, the Netherlands; http://www.embase.com) [accessed 10 April 2011].

EU (European Commission, Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks). 2010. Critical review of any new evidence on the hazard profile, health effects, and health exposure to fluoride and the fluoridating agents of drinking water. Available online: http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/environmental_risks/docs/scher_o_122.pdf. [accessed 15 September 2010]

Fan ZX, Dai HY, Bai AM, Li PO, Li T, LI GD, et al. 2007. Effect of high fluoride exposure in children's intelligence. J Environ Health 24(10):802-803.

Grandjean P. Occupational fluorosis through 50 years: clinical and epidemiological experiences. Am J Ind Med 1982(3):227-36.

Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. 2006. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial compounds. Lancet 368:2167-2178.

Grandjean P, Olsen JH. 2004. Extended follow-up of cancer in fluoride-exposed workers. J Natl Cancer Inst 96:802-803.

Guo XC, Wang R, Cheng C, Wei W, Tang L, Wang Q, et al. 1991. A preliminary exploration of IQ of 7-13 year old pupils in a fluorosis area with contamination from burning coal. Chinese Journal of Endemiology 10:98-100. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/ (Also available: Fluoride 2008, 41(2):125-128)

Higgins JP, Thompson SG. 2002. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med 21:1539-1558.

Hong F, Cao Y, Yang D, Wang H. 2001. A study of fluorine effects on children's intelligence development under different environments. Chinese Primary Health Care 15: 56-57. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/ (Also available: Fluoride 2008, 41(2):156-160)

Li FH, Chen X, Huang RJ, Xie YP. 2009. Intelligence impact of children with endemic fluorosis caused by fluoride from coal burning. J Environ Health 26(4):338-340. (in Chinese)

Li XH, Hou GQ, Yu B, Yuan CS, Liu Y, Zhang L, et al. 2010. Investigation and analysis of children's intelligence and dental fluorosis in high fluoride area. J Med Pest Control 26(3):230-231. (in Chinese)

Li XS, Zhi JL, Gao RO. 1995. Effect of fluoride exposure on intelligence in children. Fluoride 28(4):189-192.

Li Y, Jing X, Chen D, Lin L, Wang Z. 2003. The Effects of Endemic Fluoride Poisoning on the Intellectual Development of Children in Baotou. Chinese Journal of Public Health Management 19(4):337-338. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/ (Also available: Fluoride 2008, 41(2):161-164)

Li Y, Li X, Wei S. 1994. Effect of excessive fluoride intake on mental work capacity of children and a preliminary study of its mechanism. Journal of West China University of Medical Sciences 25(2):188-91. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/

Lin FF, Ai HT, Zhao HX, Lin J, Jhiang JY, Maimaiti, et al. 1991. High fluoride and low iodine environment and subclinical cretinism in Xinjiang. Endemic Dis Bull 6(2):62-67. (in Chinese)

Lu Y, Sun ZR, Wu LN, Wang X, Lu W, Liu SS. et al. 2000. Effect of high-fluoride water on intelligence in children. Fluoride 33(2):74-78. (Also available: The Chinese Journal of Control of Endemic Disease. 15(4):231-232. (in Chinese) MEDLINE (National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) [accessed 5 April 2011].

Mullenix PJ, Denbesten PK, Schunior A, Kernan WJ. 1995. Neurotoxicity of sodium fluoride in rats. Neurotoxicol Terator 17:169-177.

NRC (National Research Council). 2006. Fluoride in drinking water: a scientific review of EPA's standards. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC.

Petersen PE, Lennon MA. 2004. Effective use of fluorides for the prevention of dental caries in the 21st century: the WHO approach. Community Dent Oral Epidem 32(5):319-321.

Peng YP, Zou J, Yang DF, Li XH, Wu K. 2008. Analysis of water quality from homemade wells in Leshan downtown during 2004-2006. J Occup Health and Damage. 23(4):219-221. (in Chinese).

Poureslami HR, Horri A, Atash R. 2011. High fluoride exposure in drinking water: effect on children's IQ, one new report. Int J Pediatr Dent 21 (Suppl 1):47.

Ren DL, Li K, Lin D. 1989. An investigation of intelligence development of children aged 8-14 years in high-fluoride and low-iodine areas. Chinese Journal of Control of Endemic Diseases 4:251. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/. (Also available: Fluoride 2008, 41(4):319-320)

Seraj B, Shahrabi M, Falahzade M, Falahzade FP, Akhondi N. 2006. Effect of High Fluoride Concentration in Drinking Water on Children's Intelligence. Journal of Dental Medicine 19(2):80-86. (Abstract in English) Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/

Stern JAC. 2009. Meta-analysis in Stata: An updated collection from the Stata journal. College Station, Texas: Stata Press.

Sun MM, Li SK, Wang YF, Li FS. 1991. Measurement of intelligence by drawing test among the children in the endemic area of Al-F combined foxieosis. J Guiyang Medical College. 16(3):204-206. (in Chinese)

Sun LY. 2010. Survey of drinking water quality in Jintang County. J Occup Health and Damage. 25(5):277-280. (in Chinese).

Tang QQ, Du J, Ma HH, Jiang SJ, Zhou XJ. 2008. Fluoride and children's intelligence: a meta-analysis. Bio Trace Elem Res 126:115-120.

TOXNET (National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD; http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov) [accessed 25 May 2011].

Trivedi MH, Verma RJ, Chinoy NJ, Patel RS, Sathawara NG. 2007. Effect of high fluoride water on intelligence of school children in India. Fluoride 40(3):178-183.

U.S. EPA. 2011. EPA and HHS announce new scientific assessments and actions on fluoride/agencies working together to maintain benefits of preventing tooth decay while preventing excessive exposure. See: http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/bd4379a92ceceeac8525735900400c27/86964af577c37ab285257811005a8417!OpenDocument. [accessed 7 January 2011].

Varner JA, Jensen KF, Horvath W, Isaacson RL. 1998. Chronic administration of aluminum-fluoride or sodium-fluoride to rats in drinking water: alterations in neuronal and cerebrovascular integrity. Brain Res 784:284-298.

Wang D, Di M, Qian M. 1989. Chinese Standardized Raven Test, Rural Version. Tianjin, China.

Wang G, Yang D, Jia F, Wang H. 1996. A Study of the IQ Levels of Four- to Seven-year-old Children in High Fluoride Areas. Endemic Diseases Bulletin 11:60-62. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/ (Also available: Fluoride 2008, 41(4):340-343)

Wang SH, Wang LF, Hu PY, Guo SW, Law SH. 2001. Effects of high iodine and high fluorine on children's intelligence and thyroid function. Chinese J of Endemiology 20(4):288-290. (in Chinese)

Wang SX, Wang ZH, Cheng XT, Li J, Sang ZP, Zhang XD, et al. Water Arsenic and Fluoride Exposure and Children's Intelligence Quotient and Growth in Shanyin County, Shanxi, China. Environ Health Perspect 115(4):643-647.

Wang ZH, Wang SX, Zhang XD, Li J, Zheng XT, Hu CM, et al. 2006. Investigation of children's growth and development under long-term fluoride exposure. Chinese J Control Endem Dis 21(4):239-241. (Article in Chinese, Abstract in English)

Water Resources Abstracts (Proquest, Ann Arbor, MI; http://www.csa.com/factsheets/water-resources-set-c.php) [accessed 1 May 2011].

WHO (World Health Organization). 2002. Fluorides. WHO, Geneva.

World Bank. 2006. China water quality management: policy and institutional considerations. Available online: http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTEAPREGTOPENVIRONMENT/Resources/China_WPM_final_lo_res.pdf [accessed 13 June 2012].

Xiang Q, Liang Y, Chen L, Wang C, Chen B, Chen X, et al. 2003. Effect of fluoride in drinking water on children's intelligence. Fluoride. 36(2):84-94.

Xu YL, Lu CS, Zhang XN. 1994. Effect of fluoride on children's intelligence. Endemic Disease Bulletin 2:83-84. (in Chinese)

Yang Y, Wang X, Guo X, Hu P. 1994. Effects of high iodine and high fluorine on children's intelligence and the metabolism of iodine and fluorine. Chinese Journal of Pathology 15(5):296-8. Available online: http://www.fluoridealert.org/chinese/ (Also available: Fluoride 2008, 41(4):336-339)

Yao LM, Zhou JL, Wang SL, Cui KS, Lin FY. 1996. Analysis of TSH levels and intelligence of children residing in high fluorosis areas. Literature and Information on Preventive Medicine 2(1):26-27. (in Chinese)

Yao LM, Deng Y, Yang SY, Zhou JL, Wang SL, Cui JW. 1997. Comparison of children's health and intelligence between the fluorosis areas with and without altering water sources. Literature and Information on Preventive Medicine 3(1):42-43. (in Chinese)

Zhang JW, Yao H, Chen Y. 1998. Effect of high level of fluoride and arsenic on children's intelligence. Chinese J of Public Health. 17(2):57. (in Chinese)

Zhang M, Wang A, Xia T, He P. 2008. Effects of fluoride on DNA damage, S-phase cell-cycle arrest and the expression of NF-κB in primary cultured rat hippocampal neurons. Toxicol Letters 179:1-5.

Zhao LB, Liang GH, Zhang DN, Wu XR. 1996. Effect of a High Fluoride Water Supply on Children's Intelligence. Fluoride 29(4); 190-192.

Table 1. Characteristics of epidemiological studies of fluoride exposure and child's cognitive outcomes

Reference	Study Location	No. in high exposure group	No. in reference group	Age range (years)	Fluoride exposure Assessment	Range	Outcome Measure	Results
Ren et al. 1989	Shandong, China	160	169	8-14	High/low F villages	Not specified	Wechler Intelligence test	Children in highF, region had lower IQ scores
Chen et al. 1991	Shanxi, China	320	320	7-14	Drinking water	4.55 mg/L (high); 0.89 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	The average IQ of children from high fluoride area were lower than that of the reference area
Guo et al. 1991	Hunan, China	60	61	7-13	F in coal burning	118.1-1361.7 mg/kg (coal burning area); Control area used wood	Chinese Binet	Average IQ in fluoride coal burning area was lower than that in the reference area
Lin et al. 1991	Xinjiang, China	33	86	7-14	Drinking water	0.88mg/L (high); 0.34 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Children in the high fluoride (low iodine) area had lower IQ scores compared with the children from the reference fluoride (low iodine) areas
Sun et al. 1991	Guiyang, China	196	224	6.5-12	Rate of fluorosis	Fluorosis: 98.36% (high); not specified (reference)	Japan IQ test	Mean IQ was lower in all age groups except ≤7 years old group in the area with high fluoride and aluminum (limited to high fluoride population only)
An et al. 1992	Inner Mongolia, China	121	121	7-16	Drinking water	2.1-7.6mg/L (high) 0.6-1.0 mg/L (reference)	Wechler Intelligence test	IQ scores of children in high fluoride areas were significantly lower than those of children living in reference fluoride area
Li et al. 1994	Sichuan, China	106	49	12-13	Buring of high-fluoride coal to cook grain in high fluoride area	4.7-31.6 mg/kg (high) 0.5 mg/kg (reference)	Child mental work capacity	Early, prolonged high fluoride intake causes a decrease in the child's mental work capacity
Xu et al. 1994	Shandong, China	97	32	8-14	Drinking water	1.8 mg/L (high) 0.8 mg/L (reference)	Binet-Siman	Children had lower IQ scores in high fluoride area than those who lived in the reference area.
Yang et al. 1994	Shandong, China	30	30	8-14	Well water	2.97 mg/L (high); 0.5 mg/L (reference)	Chinese comparative intelligence test	The average IQ scores was lower in children from high fluoride and iodine area than those from the reference area, but the results were not significant
Li et al. 1995	Guizhou, China	681	226	8-13	Urine, Dental Fluorosis Index(DFI)	1.81-2.69 mg/L (high); 1.02 mg/L (reference); DFI 0.8-3.2 (high) DFI <0.4 (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Children living in fluorosis areas had lower IQ scores than children living in non-fluorosis areas
Wang et al. 1996	Xinjiang, China	147	83	4-7	Drinking water	>1.0-8.6 mg/L (high) 0.58-1.0 mg/L (reference)	Wechsler Intelligence Test	Average IQ score was lower in children in the high fluoride group than those in the reference group
Yao et al. 1996	Liaoning, China	266	270	8-12	Drinking water	2-11mg/L (high) 1 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Average IQ scores of children residing in exposed fluoride areas were lower than those in the reference area
Zhao et al. 1996	Shanxi, China	160	160	7-14	Drinking water	4.12 mg/L (high) 0.91 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Children living in high fluoride and arsenic area had significantly lower IQ scores than those living in the reference fluoride (and no arsenic) area

24

Reference	Study Location	No. in high exposure group	No. in reference group	Age range (years)	Fluoride exposure		Outcome Measure	Results
					Assessment	Range		
Yao et al. 1997	Liaoning, China	188	314	7-14	Drinking water	2 mg/L (exposed) 0.4 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	IQ scores of children in the high fluoride area were lower than those of children in the reference area
Zhang et al. 1998	Xinjiang, China	51	52	4-10	Drinking water	Not specified	Japan IQ Test	Average IQ scores of children residing in high fluoride and arsenic area were lower than those who resided in the reference area
Lu et al. 2000	Tianjin, China	60	58	10-12	Drinking water	3.15 mg/L (high) 0.37 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Children in the high fluoride area scored significantly lower IQ scores than those in the reference area
Hong et al. 2001	Shandong, China	85	32	8-14	Drinking water	2.90 mg/L (high) 0.75 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Average IQ scores were significantly lower in high fluoride group (and iodine) than the reference group
Wang et al. 2001	Shandong, China	30	30	8-12	Drinking water	2.97 mg/L (high) 0.5 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	No significant difference in IQ scores of children in the high fluoride/high iodine and reference fluoride/low iodine areas
Li et al. 2003	Inner Mongolia	720	236	6-13	Fluorosis	Endemic versus control regions defined by the Chinese Geological Office	CRT-RC[a]	Average IQ of children in high fluorosis area was lower than that in the reference area
Xiang et al. 2003	Jiangsu, China	222	290	8-13	Drinking water	0.57-4.5 mg/L (high) 0.18-0.76 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Mean IQ score was significantly lower in children who lived in the high fluoride area than that of children in the reference exposure area (both areas also had arsenic exposure)
Seraj et al. 2006	Tehran, Iran	41	85	Not specified	Drinking water	2.5 mg/L (high) 0.4 mg/L (reference)	Raven	The mean IQ in the high fluoride area was significantly lower than that from the reference fluoride area
Wang et al. 2006	Shanxi, China	202	166	8-12	Drinking water	5.54±3.88 mg/L (high) 0.73±0.28 mg/L (reference)	CRT-RC[a]	The IQ scores of children in the high fluoride group were significantly lower than those in the reference group
Fan et al 2007	Shaanxi, China	42	37	7-14	Drinking water	1.14-6.09 mg/L (high) 1.33-2.35 mg/L (reference)	CRT-C$_2$	The average IQ scores of children residing in the high F area were lower than those of children residing in the reference area
Wang et al. 2007	Shanxi, China	253	196	8-12	Drinking water and urine	3.8-11.5 mg/L (water, high) 1.6-11 mg/L (urine, high) 0.2-1.1 mg/L (water, reference) 0.4-3.9 mg/L (urine, reference)	CRT-RC[a]	Mean IQ scores were significantly lower in the high fluoride group than from the reference group in the fluoride/arsenic areas
Li et al. 2009	Hunan, China	60	20	8-12	Coal burning	1.24-2.34 mg/L (high) 0.962 mg/L (reference)	CRT-C$_2$	Mean IQ was lower in children in coal-burning areas compared to those in the reference group

25

Reference	Study Location	No. in high exposure group	No. in reference group	Age range (years)	Fluoride exposure		Outcome Measure	Results
					Assessment	Range		
Li et al. 2010	Henan, China	347	329	7-10	Drinking water	2.47±0.75mg/L (high)	CRT-RC	No significant difference in IQ scores between children in the exposed and reference groups
Poureslami et al. 2011	Iran	59	60	6-9	Drinking Water	2.38 mg/L (high) 0.41 mg/L (reference)	Raven	Children in the high fluoride group scored significantly lower than those in reference group

[a]CRT-RC denotes Chinese Standardized Raven Test, rural version (Wang et al. 1989)

Table 2. Sensitivity analyses of pooled random-effect standardized weighted mean difference (SMD) estimates of child's intelligence score with high exposure of fluoride

Model	Available studies for analysis	SMD (95% CI)	I^2	p-value test of heterogeneity
1. Exclude non-standardized tests[a]	23	-0.44 (-0.54, -0.33)	77.6%	< 0.001
2. Exclude non CRT-RC Tests[b]	16	-0.36 (-0.48, -0.25)	77.8%	<0.001
3. Exclude studies with other exposures (Iodine, Arsenic)[c] or non-drinking water fluoride exposure[d]	9	-0.29 (-0.44, -0.14)	81.8%	<0.001

[a]Mental work capacity (Li et al. 1994); Japan IQ (Sun et al. 1991; Zhang et al. 1998); Chinese comparative scale of intelligence test (Yang et al. 1994)
[b]Weschler intelligence test (An et al. 1992; Ren et al . 1989; Wang et al. 1996); Chinese Binet IQ (Guo et al. 1991); Raven(Poureslami et al. 2011; Seraj et al. 2006); Binet-Siman (Xu et al. 1994)
[c]Iodine (Hong et al. 2001; Lin et al. 1991; Wang et al. 2001); Arsenic (Wang et al. 2007; Xiang et al. 2003; Zhao et al. 1996; Zhang et al. 1998 - already excluded, see footnote 1)
[d]Fluoride from coal-burning (Li et al. 2009; Guo et al. 1991; Li et al 1994 (already excluded, see footnotes a and b)

Figure Legend

Figure 1.   Flow diagram of the meta-analysis

Figure 2.   Random-effect standardized weighted mean difference (SMD) estimates
and 95% CIs of child's intelligence score associated with high exposure to
fluoride. SMs for individual studies are shown as solid diamonds ($\blacklozenge$), and
the pooled SMD is shown as a non-filled diamond ($\lozenge$). Horizontal lines
represent 95% CIs for the study-specific SMDs.

Figure 3.   Begg's funnel plot showing individual studies included in the analysis
according to random-effect standardized weighted mean difference (SMD)
estimates (x-axis) and the standard error (se) of each study-specific SMD
(y-axis). The solid vertical line indicates the pooled SMD estimate for all
studies combined and the dashed lines indicated pseudo 95% confidence
limits around the pooled SMD estimate

Study	Location	SMD (95% CI)	% Weight
Ren et al. 1989	Shandong	-0.75 (-0.97, -0.52)	4.22
Chen et al. 1991	Shanxi	-0.26 (-0.41, -0.10)	4.66
Guo et al. 1991	Hunan	-0.44 (-0.80, -0.08)	3.26
Lin et al. 1991	Xinjiang	-0.64 (-1.01, -0.28)	3.23
Sun et al. 1991	Guiyang	-0.95 (-1.16, -0.75)	4.36
An et al. 1992	I Mongolia	-0.57 (-0.83, -0.31)	3.98
Li et al. 1994	Sichuan	-0.40 (-0.74, -0.06)	3.39
Xu et al. 1994	Shandong	-0.93 (-1.35, -0.52)	2.91
Yang et al. 1994	Shandong	-0.50 (-1.01, 0.02)	2.36
Li et al. 1995	Guizhou	-0.55 (-0.70, -0.39)	4.68
Wang et al. 1996	Xinjiang	-0.38 (-0.65, -0.10)	3.88
Yao et al. 1996	Liaoning	-0.34 (-0.51, -0.17)	4.57
Zhao et al. 1996	Shanxi	-0.54 (-0.76, -0.31)	4.22
Yao et al. 1997	Liaoning	-0.43 (-0.61, -0.25)	4.49
Zhang et al. 1998	Xinjiang	-0.17 (-0.55, 0.22)	3.09
Lu et al. 2000	Tianjin	-0.62 (-0.98, -0.25)	3.20
Hong et al. 2001	Shandong	-0.44 (-0.85, -0.03)	2.94
Wang et al. 2001	Shandong	-0.50 (-1.01, 0.02)	2.36
Li et al. 2003	I Mongolia	-0.10 (-0.25, 0.04)	4.71
Xiang et al. 2003	Jiangsu	-0.64 (-0.82, -0.46)	4.52
Seraj et al. 2006	Tehran	-0.89 (-1.28, -0.50)	3.08
Wang et al. 2006	Shanxi	-0.27 (-0.47, -0.06)	4.34
Fan et al. 2007	Shaanxi	-0.17 (-0.61, 0.27)	2.75
Wang et al. 2007	Shanxi	-0.26 (-0.44, -0.07)	4.46
Li et al. 2009	Hunan	-0.43 (-0.94, 0.08)	2.38
Li et al. 2010	Henan	0.07 (-0.08, 0.22)	4.69
Poureslami et al. 2011	Iran	-0.41 (-0.77, -0.04)	3.25
Overall (I-squared = 80.0%, p = 0.000)		-0.45 (-0.56, -0.34)	100.00